



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,696	03/29/2004	Masami Nasu	251145US2	1217
22850	7590	12/26/2007		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
			EXAMINER	
			LOUIE, OSCAR A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/810,696

Applicant(s)

NASU, MASAMI

Examiner

Oscar A. Louie

Art Unit

2136

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Oscar A. Louie.

(3) Sameer Gokhale.

(2) Nasser Moazzami.

(4) Joseph Wrkich

Date of Interview: 19 December 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,14,22,30,37,43 and 50.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hind et al. (US-7069452-B1), Kidder et al. (US-6880086-B2), Raduchel et al. (US-6338138-B1), Bealkowski et al. (US-5878256-A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

NASSER MOAZZAMI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representatives and the examiner discussed the proposed amendments to independent Claims 1, 8, 14, 22, 30, 37, 43, and 50. It was agreed that the proposed amendments if entered as discussed would overcome the current standing rejection and would require further search and consideration. In particular, the proposed amendment limitation "communication protocol" would distinguish the application from the prior art of record in terms of the communications by protocol and not just any communications path way (i.e. system bus, transmission medium, etc). Clarification was made of the condition "when" in Claim 1 line 12 where if the certification process fails, no data is transmitted via a second communications protocol. Suggestion was made for Claims 22 and 50 to omit the term "when" and replace it with "is executable".